

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/752,706  | 01/08/2004  | Tomoko Takehara      | 58647-176           | 8946             |  |
| 7590 01/26/2007<br>McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY<br>600 13th Street, N.W. |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | NGUYEN, HUONG Q     |                  |  |
| Washington, DC 20005-3096   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 3736                | . •              |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             | •                    | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 01/26/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/752,706      | TAKEHARA, TOMOKO |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |  |
| Helen Nguyen    | 3736             |  |  |

|   | Helen Nguyen  | 3736   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress                                      |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol> | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo          | idavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 Cl         | rce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)            |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires <u>5 months from the maining date</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A   |   | in the final rejection, wh                               | ichever is later. In                      |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejecti                              | on.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date  | •   | 36(a) and the appropria                                  | te extension fee                          |  |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                                    | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since      |  |  |  |  |
|   | but prior to the data of filing a brief   | will not be entered by                                   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |  | ecause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  | • •   | ducing or simplifying                                    | the issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally rej   | ected claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15  |   | mpliant Amendment (                                      | (PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).  |   | •  | _   |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | ll be entered and an e                                   | explanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14,16,18,20 and 22-41</u> .  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and the provide a showing of good and the provide a showing of good and the provide action are provided. See 37 CFB 4.116(a)  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ul>  | overcome all rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fai                                  | ls to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | = -   |  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | t does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowa                                   | nce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| •   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| •   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment of independent claim 1 to include the limitations of claim 3 narrows the claim and requires a new search and consideration to determine patentability.